

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JOHN VALDIVIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

COUNTY OF FRESNO,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:24-CV-00084 JLT EPG (PC)

**ORDER REQUIRING STATEMENTS  
FROM PARTIES REGARDING  
SCHEDULE AND DISCOVERY**

**THIRTY (30) DAY DEADLINE**

The Court has screened Plaintiff's complaint (ECF No. 1) and has ordered the case to proceed. (ECF No. 6). Defendant Fresno County has appeared and filed an Answer. (ECF No. 11). In addition, after several phone conversations between parties, Defendant filed a notice stating that while "the parties have had a positive dialogue . . . it is defense counsel's good faith belief that an early settlement conference would not be productive at this time." (ECF No. 13). Accordingly, the Court will not set a settlement conference at this time and will proceed to issuing a scheduling order in this case. However, to the extent any claims remain following a ruling on dispositive motions, no later than 14 days after the final order on dispositive motions, Defendants shall file an updated report regarding whether Defendants want the Court to set a settlement conference.

Before scheduling this case, the Court will require each party to submit a statement regarding the schedule and discovery matters.

1 The statements regarding the schedule and discovery shall be filed within thirty days from  
2 the date of service of this order. They should be filed with the Court, titled “SCHEDULING  
3 AND DISCOVERY STATEMENT,” and include the name of the party filing the statement.  
4 They shall address all of the following issues:

- 5 i. A brief summary of the parties’ claims and/or defenses.
- 6 ii. The name and, if known, the address and telephone number of each witness,  
7 besides expert witnesses, the party may call at trial.
- 8 iii. A description by category and location of all documents the party may use at  
9 trial.
- 10 iv. Whether any third parties, other than Plaintiff’s institution of confinement, are  
11 likely to have relevant documents.
- 12 v. Whether the party intends to use expert witnesses.
- 13 vi. If a settlement conference has not occurred, when the party will be prepared to  
14 participate in a settlement conference.

15 Defendant(s)’ Scheduling and Discovery Statement shall also address all of the following  
16 issues:

- 17 vii. Whether a third party subpoena directed at Plaintiff’s institution of  
18 confinement will be necessary to obtain relevant documents.
- 19 viii. Whether Defendant(s) intend to challenge the issue of exhaustion and, if so,  
20 when Defendant(s) will be ready to file a motion for summary judgment  
21 regarding the issue of exhaustion.
- 22 ix. Whether witness statements and/or evidence were generated from  
23 investigation(s) related to the event(s) at issue in the complaint, such as an  
24 investigation stemming from the processing of Plaintiff’s grievance(s).<sup>1</sup>

---

25  
26 <sup>1</sup> See *Woodford v. Ngo*, 548 U.S. 81, 94-95 (2006) (“[P]roper exhaustion improves the quality of those  
27 prisoner suits that are eventually filed because proper exhaustion often results in the creation of an administrative  
28 record that is helpful to the court. When a grievance is filed shortly after the event giving rise to the grievance,  
witnesses can be identified and questioned while memories are still fresh, and evidence can be gathered and  
preserved.”).

- x. Whether there are any video recordings or photographs related to the incident(s) at issue in the complaint, including video recordings and photographs of Plaintiff taken following the incident(s).
- xi. Whether Defendant(s) intend to argue that Defendant(s) are not properly named because they are not the individual(s) responsible for the action(s) described in the complaint (*i.e.*, someone else did or is responsible for the action(s) alleged in the complaint).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Eric P. Goss  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE